

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

			
Jeremy A. Schrooten	C-3064	7541	
	EXAMI	INER	
Malcolm J. Chisholm, Jr.		HODGE, ROBERT W	
	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	1746		
	Acting A. Solitotical	EXAMI HODGE, RO ART UNIT	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary	10/702,181	SCHROOTEN ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Robert Hodge	1746
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may reply within the statutory minimum of the tion of the time of	a reply be timely filed nirty (30) days will be considered timely. DNTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. & 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on _		
	This action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allo	wance except for formal ma	atters, prosecution as to the merits is
closed in accordance with the practice unde	er <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims		
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-11 is/are pending in the applicat 4a) Of the above claim(s) 10 and 11 is/are v 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-9 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction an	vithdrawn from consideratio	n.
Application Papers		,
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam 10) The drawing(s) filed on 05 November 2003 Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cor 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b) the drawing(s) be held in abey rection is required if the drawir	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). ng(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docum 2. Certified copies of the priority docum 3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International Bur * See the attached detailed Office action for a	ents have been received. ents have been received in priority documents have been reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No en received in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB. Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper N	v Summary (PTO-413) o(s)/Mail Date f Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Application/Control Number: 10/702,181 Page 2

Art Unit: 1746

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - Claims 1-9, drawn to a method of breaking in a fuel cell, classified in class
 429, subclass 13.
 - II. Claims 10-11, drawn to a fuel cell system, classified in class 429, subclass22.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

- 2. Inventions I and II are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the method of claim group I can be used for many different types of fuel cells and fuel cell systems and not just the fuel cell system in claim group II, other such fuel cells and systems include but are not limited to those including fuel cell of the alkaline and phosphoric acid type.
- 3. During a telephone conversation with Malcolm Chisholm on 5/2/05 a provisional election was made with traverse to prosecute the invention of claim group I, claims 1-9. Affirmation of this election must be made by applicant in replying to this Office action. Claims 10-11 are withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/702,181

Page 3

Art Unit: 1746

4. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group I is not required for Group II, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

5. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 7. Claims 1-2, 4-6 and 8-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Dudfield et al. U.S. Patent No. 5,601,936 hereinafter Dudfield et al.
- 8. Dudfield et al. teaches a method of cycling a direct electrical current potential of an electrode in a proton exchange membrane fuel cell (either cathode and/or anode) between the range of 0-1.2 volts, repeating the cycling, with a reducing fluid reactant present at the anode and an oxidant reactant fluid present at the cathode, and using a primary load switch (abstract, column 1, line 45 column, line 63). The examiner notes

Application/Control Number: 10/702,181 Page 4

Art Unit: 1746

that the disclosure of the switches being controlled reads on the claim language reciting a programmable power source.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 9. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 10. Claims 3 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dudfield et al in view of Hallum U.S. Patent No. 6,576,356 hereinafter Hallum.
- 11. Dudfield et al. teaches everything in the above 102 rejections.
- 12. Dudfield et al. does not teach the use of an inert gas at the cathode.
- 13. Hallum teaches preconditioning a fuel cell stack by using an inert gas (column 2, line 56, column 3, lines 54-67 and column 4, line 1).
- 14. At the time of the invention it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to include the use of an inert gas provided to the cathode during preconditioning of the fuel cell stack as taught by Hallum in the Dudfield et al. reference in order to prevent electrochemical reactions from occurring within the fuel cells.

Conclusion

- 15. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
 - a. U.S. Patent No. 3,544,380 to Dey teaches a fuel cell with periodic application of electrical pulses to the fuel cell electrodes.
 - b. U.S. Patent No. 6,589,686 to Ovshinsky et al. teaches a method of activating electrodes in fuel cells.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Robert Hodge whose telephone number is (571) 272-2097. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00am - 4:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Barr can be reached on (571) 272-1414. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

RWH 5-5-05

MICHAEL BARR SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER